



38287 - A trick to have intercourse during the day in Ramadaan by breaking the fast beforehand

the question

I had been to my home country on a week leave. One day before flying off i had called my wife and had advised my wife not to fast on the day of my coming. This was because I am an impatient type of nature and wanted to have intercourse with the wife at the moment i reached home. she obeyed my words and we had sex during the day. Both were not fasting. Have we committed a sin?.

Detailed answer

Praise be to Allah.

If what happened to you took place in a month other than Ramadaan, there is no sin on you, because naafil fasts do not have to be completed; even if a Muslim has started such a fast, he may stop the fast, according to the sound scholarly view.

But if it happened in the month of Ramadaan, it is a major sin. How can anyone take lightly the matter of stopping the fast without a valid excuse or shar'i reason, and even use trickery to transgress the sacred limits of Allaah in this manner?

Based on that, if that happened in the month of Ramadaan, it is subject to further discussion:

1 - If you arrived before the adhaan of Fajr, then you should have fasted that day, so long as your journey ended when you returned to your home. If you deliberately did not fast, and your wife broke the fast with you, so that you could have intercourse with her, then you are both sinners and you both have to make up the fast and you both have to offer the severe expiation that is required for intercourse.

2 - If you arrived during the day, the correct view is that if the traveller arrives not fasting, he does



not have to refrain from eating and drinking for the rest of the day, because he cannot be required both to refrain and to make up the day. This view was narrated from Imam Ahmad, and it is the view of the Shaafa'is. [See Sharh al-Mushayqih 'ala Zaad al-Ma'aad, 4/282-285.] But you sinned by telling her to break the fast, and she also sinned if she obeyed you. If she did not fast so that you could have intercourse with her, then she has to make up that day, and she alone has to offer the severe expiation because of the intercourse that took place. This has already been discussed in questions no. [38023](#), [22938](#) and [1672](#).

Shaykh al-Islam Ibn Taymiyah (may Allaah have mercy on him) was asked about a man who wanted to have intercourse with his wife during the day in Ramadaan, and he broke his fast with food before having intercourse, then he had intercourse. Does he have to offer expiation or not? What about the one who breaks the fast with no excuse?

He replied: Praise be to Allaah. There are two well-known scholarly views concerning this matter. The first is that it is obligatory. This is the view of the majority, such as Maalik, Ahmad, Abu Haneefah and others. The second view is that it is not obligatory. This is the view of al-Shaafa'i... Then they disputed as to whether it is essential that he would have broken a valid fast (in order for the severe expiation to be required). Al-Shaafa'i and others said that that is essential, for example if he eats and then has intercourse, or he starts the day intending not to fast and then has intercourse, or he has intercourse, then offers expiation, then has intercourse again. He does not have to offer expiation, according to al-Shaafa'i, because he did not have intercourse during a valid fast. But Ahmad and others say that he does have to offer expiation in these and similar cases, because he should have refrained from eating etc during Ramadaan, so this is an invalid fast. This is like invalid ihraam. If a pilgrim who has entered ihraam for Hajj spoils his ihraam, he has to continue refraining from the things that are forbidden during ihraam, like those whose ihraam is still valid. Similarly, if a person is obliged to fast during Ramadaan, he has to refrain even if his fast is spoiled by eating or having intercourse, or by not having the intention to fast. He should still refrain from doing the things that are forbidden when fasting. But if he does not refrain, he is still subject to the same requirement of expiation as if his fast was still valid. And in either case he has to make up the fast as well.



And Allaah knows best.

Al-Fataawa al-Kubra, 2/471; Majmoo' al-Fataawa, 25/260; see also al-Majmoo', 6/321.